## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA			000		OF DETENTION BENDING TOLAL		
		V.		ORD	EK	OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
Felipe Jesus Martinez-Celeya			Case Nu	mber:	10	<u>-09194M-001</u>	
present a	and was	rith the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § s represented by counsel. I conclude defendant pending trial in this case.	by a preponderance o	t the e	was vide	held on December 29, 2010. Defendant was not the defendant is a flight risk and order the	
I find by	a prepo	nderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FAC	·I			
The defendant is not a citizen of the United Sta				ully ac	lmitt	ed for permanent residence.	
	$\boxtimes$	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.					
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.						
		The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculate to assure his/her future appearance.					
	$\boxtimes$	The defendant has a prior criminal	nistory.				
☐ The defendant lives/works in Mexico.							
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and his substantial family ties to Mexico.						
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.						
		The defendant attempted to evade	law enforcement conta	act by	fleei	ng from law enforcement.	
!		The defendant is facing a maximum	n of		yea	rs imprisonment.	
				<del> </del>			
at the tim	The Co	urt incorporates by reference the ma e hearing in this matter, except as n	terial findings of the Proted in the record.	etrial S	Serv	ices Agency which were reviewed by the Court	
			CONCLUSIONS OF L	.AW			
•	1.	There is a serious risk that the defe	ndant will flee.				
2	2.	No condition or combination of con-	ditions will reasonably	assur	e the	e appearance of the defendant as required.	
			IONS REGARDING				
a correct appeal. of the Ur	tions fac The def nited Sta	cility separate, to the extent practicab fendant shall be afforded a reasonab	le, from persons awaiti le opportunity for priva he Government, the p	ing or s te con erson	serv sulta in cl	r designated representative for confinement in ing sentences or being held in custody pending ation with defense counsel. On order of a court narge of the corrections facility shall deliver the tion with a court proceeding.	
		APPEAL	S AND THIRD PART	Y REL	_EA	SE	
deliver a Court.	IT IS OF	RDERED that should an appeal of the motion for review/reconsideration	is detention order be f on to Pretrial Services a	iled wi at leas	ith th t one	e District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to e day prior to the hearing set before the District	
Services	sufficie	JRTHER ORDERED that if a release ently in advance of the hearing before the party custodian.	to a third party is to be re the District Court to	consi	idere v Pr	ed, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria etrial Services an opportunity to interview and	
	_						
DATE: _	Decer	<u>mber 29, 2010</u>				JAY R. IRWIN	